UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re: RFC and ResCap Liquidating Trust Litigation

Case No. 13-cv-3451 (SRN/HB)

This document relates to:

ResCap Liquidating Trust v. Primary Residential Mortgage, Inc., No. 16-cv-4070 (SRN/HB)

AMENDED SECOND ORDER ON TRIAL DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

SUSAN RICHARD NELSON, United States District Judge

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff ResCap Liquidating Trust's ("ResCap") and Defendant Primary Residential Mortgage, Inc.'s ("PRMI") request to admit various portions of deposition testimony from numerous witnesses—and accompanying exhibits—into the evidentiary record for the recently completed bench trial between the parties. This order is the second of two (see Doc. No. 5505 (Am. First Order on Dep. Designations)) and addresses the remaining witnesses and exhibits at issue. As set forth in detail below, the Court GRANTS IN PART and DENIES IN PART the parties' requests. Exhibits offered in conjunction with deposition testimony are only referenced if they have not already been received into evidence.

Witness:	Ruling:
Frank Sillman	All objections to deposition designations are overruled.
Kathy Meadows	All objections to deposition designations are overruled.
Leigh Ann Richardson	All objections to deposition designations are overruled.
Bradford Cornell	All objections to deposition designations are overruled.

Yvonne Flitton	All objections to deposition designations are overruled <i>except</i> the following, which are sustained: • 189:5–25 • 190:1–25 • 191:1–25 • 192:1–25 • 193:1 • 195:5–25 • 196:1–23 • 197:16–25 • 198:1–13 • 273:2–25
	• 276:5–16
	Exhibits: • The following exhibits are <u>not</u> received into evidence: • DTX-023
Lori Zaloumis	All objections to deposition designations are overruled <i>except</i> the following, which are sustained: • 37:15-25 • 38:1-6, 20-25 • 39:1-6, 11-25 • 40:1-25 • 41:1-25 • 83:1-25 • 84:1-25 • 86:1-25 • 86:1-25 • 88:1-15 • 95:1-2, 20-25 • 96:1-3, 11-25 • 98:1-25 • 98:1-25 • 103:11-25 • 104:1-25 • 105:1-25 • 106:1-25 • 107:1-25 • 108:1-9, 23-25

- 109:1–10, 17–21
- 110:7–25
- 111:1–25
- 112:1–6
- 113:24–25
- 114:1–10, 19–25
- 115:1–25
- 116:1–25
- 117:1–6, 9–14, 16
- 159:1–6, 11–25
- 160:1–23
- 183:12–25
- 184:1–25
- 185:1–20
- 186:20–25
- 191:11–25
- 192:1–24
- 215:6–25
- 216:1–20, 23–25
- 217:1–2
- 232:2–5, 11–18, 21–25
- 233:1–25
- 234:1–25
- 235:1–25
- 236:1–25
- 237:1–25
- 238:1–25
- 239:1–25
- 240:1–11
- 242:16–25
- 243:1–25
- 244:1, 9–25
- 245:1–11
- 253:20–25
- 254:1–23
- 255:1–12, 19–25
- 256:1–3
- 259:21–25
- 260:1–25
- 261:1–25

• 262:1–11, 14–24

Exhibits:

• The following exhibits are not received into evidence:

o DTX-162

o DTX-206

o DTX-207

o DTX-213

o DTX-223

o DTX-237

o DTX-246

o DTX-251

o DTX-264

o DTX-266

o DTX-267

o DTX-269

o DTX-286

o DTX-307

Finally, the Court notes that testimony that refers to bankruptcy related documents, such as declarations, affidavits, expert reports, proofs of claim and the like is received with the understanding, as expressed on a number of occasions by the Court, that it will not be

considered for the truth of the matters asserted therein but rather for the limited purpose of

identifying information available to the parties at the time of the Bankruptcy Settlements.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 15, 2020 <u>s/Susan Richard Nelson</u>

Susan Richard Nelson United States District Judge

4